

that as long as there is competition between Federal and State programs for LWCF appropriations, the State matching grants will lose. He suggested a separate source of funds.

I am taking his advice to heart, and calling upon Congress to establish a separate and permanent fund for State matching grants.

My legislation creates an \$800 million permanent endowment to provide LWCF matching grants to the States. Interest from that account will help provide parks, campgrounds, trails, and recreation facilities for millions of Americans. It will also help preserve open spaces for the future.

Where does that money come from? On June 19, 1997, the Supreme Court ruled the Federal Government retains title to lands underlying tidal waters off Alaska's North Slope. As the result, the government will receive \$1.6 billion in escrowed oil and gas lease revenues.

This sum is twice the amount the Congressional Budget Office estimated for the concurrent budget resolution. My bill places this bonus \$800 million in a permanent endowment account.

This new approach is consistent with the vision of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act and a promise made to the American people 30 years ago.

Our Government promised us that a portion of proceeds from offshore oil and gas leases would fund outdoor recreation and conservation. My bill makes good on that promise—permanently. It makes sure the State grants are never forgotten again.

That sound we hear on the doors to this Chamber is opportunity knocking. We must seize the opportunity and use those funds to renew and reinvigorate the bipartisan vision of the LWCF.

I urge my colleagues to join me in this endeavor and support the Community Recreation and Conservation Endowment Act of 1997.

By Mr. ABRAHAM:

S. 1119. A bill to amend the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930 to increase the penalty under certain circumstances for commission merchants, dealers, or brokers who misrepresent the country of origin or other characteristics of perishable agricultural commodities; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

FOOD SAFETY LEGISLATION

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, in March of this year, over 200 schoolchildren in my State contracted the hepatitis A virus from food served by the school lunch program. As news of the outbreak began to pour in, the Michigan Department of Community Health and the Centers for Disease Control went into action to determine the cause. They soon found the culprit: Frozen strawberries sold to the school lunch program by a San Diego company named Andrews and Williamson. Investigators also discovered that some of the strawberries sold to the school

lunch program had been illegally certified as domestically grown when, in fact, they had been grown in Mexico.

There does not currently exist a method for testing strawberries for the hepatitis A virus. Thus, we may never know whether the strawberries brought in from Mexico were the source of this pathogen. Given the growing conditions that USDA investigators found at the farm, however, the likelihood is strong.

And one thing we do know, Mr. President, is that these strawberries should never have been served in the school lunch program in the first place. By law, products sold to the school lunch program must be certified as being domestically grown. Unfortunately, because the USDA lacks the resources to effectively enforce this requirement, companies have typically been trusted to do the right thing. Andrews and Williamson chose to do something else. They chose to break the law by misrepresenting their product's country-of-origin, and over 200 people were poisoned as a result.

This dangerous incident, the poisoning of Michigan children by their own school lunch program, compelled and received my immediate involvement. Shortly after the outbreak, I called for, and was granted, a hearing on the matter. I arranged to have officials from the CDC come to my state to brief the families of those affected. During this process I learned of the similar efforts being made by a private organization called Safe Tables Our Priority [STOP]. Their assistance throughout this process has been invaluable.

One of the first things I learned while studying this issue was that a specific statute exists which states that misrepresenting the country-of-origin of a perishable good is a crime. Unfortunately, the penalty for such fraud is a \$2,000 fine and possible loss of license; a rather small price to pay for poisoning over 200 people.

Of course, this does not mean that A&W will walk away from this incident without paying a price. After reviewing the case made by investigators from the USDA, the U.S. Attorneys Office filed 47 charges against A&W. The first charge is conspiracy to defraud the United States. Counts two, three and four are for making false statements, and counts five through forty-seven are for making false claims. For each of these counts, the maximum penalty is 5 years and/or \$250,000 per count or \$500,000 for a corporation.

I state these charges because they do not include any mention of the specific crime which A&W is accused of violating, namely, misrepresenting the country-of-origin for a perishable food. Well, Mr. President, I intend to rectify this oversight. Today I am introducing legislation which modifies current law such that an intentional misrepresentation of the origin, kind or character of any perishable commodity, the reckless disregard of the effects on the public safety of such action, or violations

which result in serious injury, illness or death will constitute a felony with a maximum penalty of five years imprisonment and/or a fine of \$250,000 per count.

This change in law will ensure that individuals who intentionally misrepresent their goods will now suffer the appropriate consequences of their actions. The recent outbreaks of hepatitis A, Cyclospora and E Coli demonstrate that a new commitment to food safety is sorely needed in this country. I will continue working to see that Congress takes the appropriate measures to assist the USDA, FDA and Centers for Disease Control in their efforts to keep America's food supply the safest in the world.

Mr. President, I ask consent that the full text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1119

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. MISREPRESENTATION OF COUNTRY OF ORIGIN OR OTHER CHARACTERISTICS OF PERISHABLE AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES.

Section 2(5) of the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, 1930 (7 U.S.C. 499b(5)), is amended by adding at the end the following: "If a court of competent jurisdiction finds that a person has intentionally, or with reckless disregard, engaged in a misrepresentation described in this paragraph and the misrepresentation resulted in a serious bodily injury (as defined in section 1365(g) of title 18, United States Code) to, or death of, an individual, the person shall be guilty of a Class D felony that is punishable under title 18, United States Code."

By Mr. HATCH (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. THOMPSON, and Mr. KOHL):

S. 1121. A bill to amend Title 17 to implement the WIPO Copyright Treaty and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

THE WIPO COPYRIGHT AND PERFORMANCE AND PHONOGRAMS TREATY IMPLEMENTATION ACT OF 1997

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation proposed by the Clinton administration to implement two important treaties that were adopted last December by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). The distinguished Ranking Member of the Judiciary Committee, Sen. LEAHY, the distinguished Senator for Tennessee, Sen. THOMPSON, and the distinguished Senator from Wisconsin, Sen. KOHL, join me as original cosponsors. I strongly support adoption of the treaties, and I am introducing this bill on behalf of the Administration as an essential step in that process. I believe that the Administration's bill provides an excellent starting point for the debate on exactly what must be changed in U.S. law in order to comply with the treaties.

The WIPO Copyright Treaty and the WIPO performances and Phonograms

Treaty—completed after years of intense lobbying by the United States government—will update international copyright law for the digital age and ensure the protection of American creative products abroad. I want to commend Secretary of Commerce Bill Daley, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Bruce Lehman, and their staffs for their efforts in moving this important issue forward, and I welcome the opportunity to work with them during the legislative process.

The United States leads the world in the production of creative works and high-technology products—including software, movies, recordings, music, books, video games, and information. Copyright industries represent nearly 6% of the U.S. gross domestic product, and nearly 5% of U.S. employment. Yet American companies lose \$18–20 billion every year due to international piracy of copyrighted works. The film industry alone estimates its annual losses due to counterfeiting in excess of \$2.3 billion, even though full-length motion pictures are not yet available on the Internet. The recording industry estimates that it loses more than \$1.2 billion each year due to piracy, with seizures of bootleg CDs up some 1,300 percent in 1995. These figures will only continue to grow with the recent technological developments that permit creative products to be pirated and distributed globally with the touch of a button, significantly weakening international protection for the copyrighted works that are such a critical part of this country's economic backbone and costing the U.S. economy exports and jobs.

The WIPO treaties will raise the minimum standards for copyright protection worldwide, providing the U.S. with the tools it needs to combat international piracy. But the treaties will be meaningless unless they are ratified by a large number of countries. It is therefore up to the United States to demonstrate leadership on this issue by ratifying and implementing the treaties promptly. Swift U.S. action will encourage global implementation of the WIPO treaties, and will signal U.S. determination to curb the threat that international piracy poses to U.S. jobs and the economy.

This bill takes the approach that the substantive protections in U.S. copyright law already meet the standards of the new WIPO treaties, and therefore very few changes to U.S. law are necessary in order to implement the treaties. In addition to minimal technical amendments, the treaties require signatory countries to provide legal protections against the circumvention of certain technologies that copyright owners use to protect their works and to guard against the alteration or falsification of identifying data known as copyright management information (CMI).

This "minimalist" bill is the product of much hard work by the Administration, and represents many months of

negotiations among interested parties, including software companies, computer manufacturers, and the copyright community. This bill is a compromise; it does not represent any group's "wish list" for WIPO implementing legislation. The Administration has tried to craft a bill that addresses only those issues required by the treaties without altering the substantive protections and exceptions provided under U.S. copyright law or injecting extraneous issues into the treaty process. The Administration has tried to preserve the delicate balance that U.S. law already strikes between copyright owners and users, since the WIPO treaties were not intended to upset that balance.

I urge my colleagues to give this legislation serious consideration. The Judiciary Committee will begin hearings on this bill shortly. I would like to see the treaties go into effect this year, and I will try hard to meet this goal. However, the late date on which the Administration has submitted the legislation may render this goal unachievable.

In any event, we must act promptly to ratify and implement the WIPO treaties in order to demonstrate leadership on international copyright protection, so that the WIPO treaties can be implemented globally and so that further theft of our nation's most valuable creative products may be prevented.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that a copy of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1121

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "WIPO Copyright and Performances and Phonograms Treaty Implementation Act of 1997".

SEC. 2. TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) Section 101 of Title 17, United States Code is amended—

(1) by deleting the definition of "Berne Convention work";

(2) in the definition of "The 'country of origin; of a Berne Convention work,'" by deleting "The 'country of origin; of a Berne Convention work,'" capitalizing the first letter of the word "for", deleting "is the United States" after "For purposes of section 411," and inserting "a work is a 'United States work' only" after "For purposes of section 411,";

(3) in subsection (1)(B) of the definition of "The 'country' of a Berne Convention work", by inserting "treaty party of parties" and deleting "nation of nations adhering to the Berne Convention";

(4) in subsection (1)(C) of the definition of "The 'country of origin' of a Berne Convention work", by inserting "is not a treaty party" and deleting "does not adhere to the Berne Convention";

(5) in subsection (1)(D) of the definition of "The 'country of origin' of a Berne Convention work", by inserting "is not a treaty party" and deleting "does not adhere to the Berne Convention";

(6) in section (3) of the definition of "The 'country of origin' of a Berne Convention work", by deleting "For the purposes of section 411, the 'country of origin' of any other Berne Convention work is not the United States";

(7) after the definition for "fixed", by inserting "The 'Geneva Phonograms Convention' is the Convention for the Protection of Producers of Phonograms Against Unauthorized Duplication of Their Phonograms, concluded at Geneva, Switzerland on October 29, 1971.";

(8) after the definition for "including"; by inserting "An 'international agreement' is—
 "(1) the Universal Copyright Convention;
 "(2) the Geneva Phonograms Convention;
 "(3) the Berne Convention;
 "(4) the WTO Agreement;
 "(5) the WIPO Copyright Treaty;
 "(6) the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty; and

"(7) any other copyright treaty to which the United States is a party.";

(9) after the definition for "transmit", by inserting "A 'treaty party' is a country or intergovernmental organization other than the United States that is a party to an international agreement.";

(10) after the definition for "widow", by inserting "The 'WIPO Copyright Treaty' is the WIPO Copyright Treaty concluded at Geneva, Switzerland, on December 20, 1996.";

(11) after the definition for "The 'WIPO Copyright Treaty'", by inserting "The 'WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty' is the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty concluded at Geneva, Switzerland on December 20, 1996.", and

(2) by inserting, after the definition for "work for hire", "The 'WTO Agreement' is the Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization entered into on April 15, 1994. The terms "WTO Agreement" and "WTO member country" have the meanings given those terms in paragraph (9) and (10) respectively of section 2 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act."

(b) Section 104 of Title 17, United States Code is amended—

(1) in section (b)(1) by deleting "foreign nation that is a party to a copyright treaty to which the United States is also a party" and inserting "treaty party";

(2) in section (b)(2) by deleting "party to the Universal Copyright Convention" and inserting "treaty party";

(3) by renumbering the present section (b)(3) as (b)(5) and moving it to its proper sequential location and inserting a new section (b)(3) and to read:

"(3) the work is a sound recording that was first fixed in a treaty party; or";

(4) in section (b)(4) by deleting "Berne Convention work" and inserting "pictorial, graphic or sculptural work that is incorporated in a building or other structure, or an architectural work that is embodied in a building and the building or structure is located in the United States or a treaty party";

(5) by renumbering present section (b)(5) as (b)(6).

(6) by inserting a new section (b)(7) to read:
 "For purposes of paragraph (2), a work that is published in the United States or a treaty party within thirty days of publication in foreign nation that is not a treaty party shall be considered first published in the United States or such treaty party as the case may be.";

and

(7) by inserting a new section (d) to read:
 "(d) Effect of Phonograms Treaties.—Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b), no works other than sound recordings shall be eligible for protection under this